GROUP I – ITEMS WHICH NEEDED APPROVAL AND RECOMMENDATION

AC:08:01	The Chairperson calls the House to order
----------	--

Minutes

8.01.1 The Chairperson called the House to order.

AC:08:02	Obituary Reference
----------	--------------------

[Agenda Note

- **8.02.2** The death of the following eminent personalities is brought before the house with deep sense of grief.
 - 1. Smt Rosable Namchu, Former Principal of Paljor Namgyal Girls School and retired as Director, Human Resource Development Department, Government of Sikkim
 - 2. Shri K.B.Chettri, Former Chairman, Sikkim Public Service Commission and Former Managing Director, State Bank of Sikkim.
 - 3. Smt Hira Devi Waiba, Popular and reputed Folk Singer
 - 4. Shri K. Subramaniam, Widely acclaimed Security Expert
 - 5. Shri Bhim Sen Joshi, Internationally reputed Classical Musician]

Minutes:

8.02.2 The House noted with deep sense of grief the passing away of the eminent personalities. As a mark of respect to the departed souls the House observed two minutes silence.

AC:08:03	Performance Highlights by the Vice-Chancellor
----------	---

Minutes

8.03.1 The Vice-Chancellor made his presentations and observations on the various activities of the University since the last meeting of the Academic Council held on 28.11.2010 in the form of a power point presentation.

8.03.2 The House expressed its overall satisfaction of the progress reported and congratulated the Vice-Chancellor for the achievements. While doing so the following observations and remarks have been made by the Hon'ble Members.

8.03.3 <u>Prof V.S.Prasad & Prof Atul Sarma</u> had remarked that the presentations of the various teams on winter field trip should be put in the website of the University. It was also remarked that the University shall devote more on internal quality Management aspect.

8.03.4 <u>**Prof. V.S Prasad**</u> observed about the student-course ratio. He had mentioned that quality assurance should not be taken as a control mechanism rather it is meant to nurture quality. He further observed that the University, by the introduction of quality assurance mechanism, can help the colleges in terms of capacity building and bench marking. Self Quality Assessment would help in critically analyzing the data and also by introduction of best practices in the institution(s). Towards achieving this, the University can start the feedback mechanism which can gradually be institutionalized. It should be borne in mind that the Internal Quality Arrangement is to encourage the quality and the University should let the quality be decided by the colleges. The autonomy of the college should not be disturbed in the name of quality. As a first step the University can stop using the term "inspection" and in its place it can use the term "guiding". He complemented the University for introduction of the field trip

8.03.5 <u>Prof M.K.Das</u> desired to know how the term internal quality management can be explained if it is not a control mechanism. To this Prof VS Prasad mentioned that even though in real terms it is a control mechanism, it is a psychological way of adopting the terms like guidance etc to promote quality in IQM.

8.03.6 <u>Vice Chancellor</u> mentioned that the suggestions and remarks of the distinguished Members on IQM would be discussed with the IQM team for adoption.

8.03.7 <u>Prof Atul Sarma</u> complemented the Vice Chancellor and his team in particular for the various achievements reported. He observed that as Sikkim University has students from various parts of the Country, it should consider introduction of an orientation programme for their benefits and understanding. As regards Library he observed that e-resources of the Library should be further developed and the University should consider networking of its library with other universities and institutions like IITs in the longer run.

8.03.8 <u>Prof Tista Bagchi</u> suggested that awareness of the students of Sikkim University could be raised by holding Annual Students Conference and their participations therein. These conferences like in linguistics are taking place in other universities.

8.03.9 <u>Prof Neelima Deshmukh</u> enquired as to how the University had planned for introduction of coaching for Civil Service examinations. Vice Chancellor explained that the University is planning coaching not only for Civil Services but also for other competitive examinations.

8.03.10 <u>**Dr.G.S.Yonzone</u>** observed that teaching is an art and it is about how to communicate with the student community. Therefore the teachers of the University should be given orientation programmes on various aspects of teaching. He suggested that the University may also consider introduction of two teachers system in which each class will be handled by two teachers in parts and the methodology of teaching should also involve brain storming. For all these orientation of the students is a must.</u>

8.03.11 <u>Prof Neelima Deshmukh</u> enquired about the response of the students about the class room teachings. To this query, Vice Chancellor mentioned that the response is very positive and the University has tested it both inside and outside the class rooms.

8.03.12 Summing up the discussion, the <u>Vice-Chancellor</u> thanked the Hon'ble Members for their valuable contributions and assured the House that the University would consider each of them for adoption.

AC:08:04	Confirmation of the Minutes of the last meeting of the Academic Council held on
	28.11.2010

Minutes

8.04.1 The House considered and confirmed the Minutes of the Meeting held on 28.11.2010 which was enclosed as **Annexure AC VIII- 01** to the Agenda.

AC:08:05	Action Taken Report on the Minutes of the last meeting of the Academic Council
	held on 28.11.2010

Minutes

8.05.1 The House considered and confirmed the Action Taken Report on the Minutes of the last meeting held on 28.11.2010 which was enclosed as **Annexure AC VIII- 02** to the Agenda.

AC:08:06 Amendment to Ordinance on Examination – clause relating to "Unfair Means"

Minutes

8.06.1 The House considered the Agenda item and recommended the same for the approval of the Executive Council.

AC:08:07 Amendment to Ordinance on Examination – clause relating to semester by	eak
---	-----

Minutes

8.07.1 The House considered the agenda item in detail and discussed the same. Prof VS Prasad suggested that generally in the case of semester break the principle of 3 times of the normal course period is being followed by other institutions as the "maximum period of semester break". Sikkim University may also consider this and adopt the same practice instead providing 10 years as the maximum period in all cases. Prof Iftekhar Ahmed also mentioned that the University may face problems in keeping track of these students, if it is such a long gap.

8.07.2 The Chairperson assured that the suggestions of the House would be considered. Thereafter, the House recommended the amendment for approval of the Executive Council.

AC:08:08 Ordinance on Examination – Amendment to clause relating to zero semester

Minutes

8.08.1 The House considered the agenda item and recommended the amendment for the approval of the Executive Council.

AC:08:09	Ordinance on Examination – Amendment to clause relating to Evaluation Pattern
----------	---

Minutes

8.09.1 The House considered the agenda item and recommended the amendment to the Executive Council for approval.

8.09.2 While discussing the agenda, Prof Atul Sarma suggested that the University should formalize the procedure for interaction with the colleges by making it quarterly. This would help in understanding and resolving the problems periodically rather than waiting for a full year to complete. The Chairperson agreed to consider this suggestion for implementation.

AC:08:10	Ordinance on Examination – Amendment to clause relating to Pass Requirements for
	New Courses to be introduced from 2011-12

<u>Minutes</u>

8.10.1 The House considered the agenda item and also discussed it elaborately. Prof VS Prasad suggested that the University may consider increasing the subject wise pass percentage for General Courses from 30 to 35 and the aggregate from 35 to 40 so that the Degrees awarded by the University shall be on par with that awarded by other peers. This would also command value. It was stated that by this the pass percentage for both General and Honours courses would be kept uniform. The Chairperson assured that the same would be considered for implementation for new batch of students to be admitted in Monsoon 2011 (July 2011) batch.

8.10.2 It was also mentioned that in the case of B.Ed/M.Ed the University has kept the pass percentage as 35 per subject and 40 in aggregate. Prof M.K.Das pointed out that as per NCTE norms a candidate who have secured 45% in aggregate alone is eligible to apply for a regular teaching position. On this Prof VS Prasad mentioned that percentage of passing a course and percentage required for applying to a post could be different and need not be considered together.

8.10.3 With the above observations, the House recommended the amendment to the Executive Council for approval.

AC:08:11	Ordinance on Examination – Amendment to clause relating to Rectification of
	Results

Minutes

8.11.1 The House considered the amendment proposed and recommended the same to the Executive Council for approval.

AC:08:12 Amendment to Ordinance on Examination – introduction of clause relating to "inter college transfer of candidates"

Minutes

8.12.1 The House considered the agenda item in detail and discussed the same at length. It was opined that the sub-clause (e) under Clause 05.05 needs to be re-drafted considering the issues involved in Choice based credit system also and the amended version can be placed before the House in its next meeting for consideration.

8.12.2 The House with the above observation directed the University to inform the Executive Council.

AC:08:13	Ordinance on M.Phil/Ph.D

Minutes

8.13.1 The House considered the agenda item in detail. Prof V.S.Prasad mentioned that the University should consider the UGC Regulations on the issue while drafting the Ordinance. This was endorsed by Prof Iftekhar Ahmed also. The House suggested that it could recommend only the M.Phil part of the Ordinance to the Executive Council for approval and the University may re-submit the revised version of the Ordinance in the ensuing meeting for consideration.

AC:08:14 Approval of Syllabus for BSc – M.Sc. Earth Sciences

<u>Minutes</u>

8.14.1 The House considered the report of the CDC and recommended for conditional approval by the Executive Council for introduction of the course from the academic year 2011-12. It was stated that the University may review it further for modifications if any, and submit the same to the House for final recommendation to the Executive Council.

AC:08:15 Approval of Syllabus for B Music – M Music

Minutes

8.15.1 The House considered the report of the CDC and recommended for conditional approval by the Executive Council for introduction of the course from the academic year 2011-12. It was stated that the University may review it further for modifications if any, and submit the same to the House for final recommendation to the Executive Council.

AC:08:16 Approval of Syllabus for BBA – MBA

Minutes

8.16.1 The House considered the agenda item in detail. Prof JP Sharma mentioned that there are some vital things like Regulatory Papers on Business Law/Company Law are missing. It was also seen that the CDC did not take into account the Paper on Corporate Governance which is very much essential in the case of BBA/MBA course. Prof Iftekhar Ahmed observed that the syllabus should also include a paper on Media Management which is an emerging requirement. He had suggested that for course content for this paper the University may contact M/s Mudra Communications which is a leading institution in the field of Media.

8.16.2 The Chairperson assured that the suggestions of the distinguished Members would be referred to the CDC for a re-look and accordingly the same would be incorporated.

8.16.3 Thereafter, the House accorded recommendation for conditional approval of the Executive Council for introduction of the syllabus from the academic year 2011-12.

AC:08:17	Approval of Syllabus for BCA – MCA
----------	------------------------------------

Minutes

8.17.1 The House considered the report of the CDC and recommended for conditional approval by the Executive Council for introduction of the course from the academic year 2011-12. It was stated that the University may review it further for modifications if any, and submit the same to the House for final recommendation to the Executive Council.

AC:08:18 Approval of Syllabus for MA in Nepali Language

Minutes

8.18.1 The House considered the report of the CDC and recommended for conditional approval by the Executive Council for introduction of the course from the academic year 2011-12. It was stated that the University may review it further for modifications if any, and submit the same to the House for final recommendation to the Executive Council.

AC:08:19 Approval of Syllabus for M.Sc. in Ethno botany and Social Medicine Studies

<u>Minutes</u>

8.19.1 The House considered the report of the CDC and recommended for conditional approval by the Executive Council for introduction of the course from the academic year 2011-12. It was stated that the University may review it further for modifications if any, and submit the same to the House for final recommendation to the Executive Council.

AC:08:20 Approval of Syllabus for M.Phil/Ph.D. in Physical Sciences

Minutes

08.20.1 The House considered the agenda item in detail. Prof JP Sharma observed that there are provisions that teachers (including adhoc teachers) with two years of experience can directly do the Ph.D. Prof VS Prasad cautioned that the University should duly consider the provisions of UGC Regulations while drafting the Syllabus. Prof Neelima Deshmukh observed that the present syllabus has been designed as that for the integrated programme. Considering that the part of the syllabus relating to Ph D can be re-drafted with reference to above observations and also the UGC guidelines on the subject the House recommended the Syllabus for M.Phil part only for the approval of the Executive Council.

08.20.2 The Chairperson assured the House that the observations of the distinguished Members would be placed before the CDC for further improvement of the syllabus and the same would be submitted to the House in the subsequent meeting.

AC:08:21 Inspection of Rhenock Government College – Status Report

Minutes

8.21.1 The House considered the agenda item. At the first instance the House directed the University to christen the report as "Status Report" on Inspection of Colleges rather than calling it as "Deficiency Report". The suggestion was readily agreed to and implemented. Distinguished Member(s) Prof VS Prasad and Prof Iftikar Ahmed suggested whenever the University notices large scale deficiencies and are remaining unattended by the colleges concerned the University can resort to the method of 'Recognizing the College" but can withhold the approval for a particular programme in which the deficiency is remaining un-rectified.

8.21.2 The House recommended that the Vice-Chancellor may look into the status report and accord necessary approval /conditional affiliation for the course(s), subject to fulfillment of conditions/rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the status report by the college.

8.21.3 The House directed the University that said recommendation of the House be placed before Executive Council for approval.

AC:08:22 Inspection of Pakim Palatine College – Status Report

<u>Minutes</u>

8.22.1 The House recommended that the Vice-Chancellor may look into the status report and accord necessary approval /conditional affiliation for the course(s), subject to fulfillment of conditions/rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the status report by the college.

8.22.2 The House directed the University that said recommendation of the House be placed before Executive Council for approval.

AC:08:23 Inspection of Government B.Ed College – Status Report
--

Minutes

8.23.1 The House recommended that the Vice-Chancellor may look into the status report and accord necessary approval /conditional affiliation for the course(s), subject to fulfillment of conditions/rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the status report by the college.

8.22.2 The House directed the University that said recommendation of the House be placed before Executive Council for approval.

AC:08:24 Inspection of Damber Singh College – Status Report

Minutes

8.24.1 The House recommended that the Vice-Chancellor may look into the status report and accord necessary approval /conditional affiliation for the course(s), subject to fulfillment of conditions/rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the status report by the college.

8.24.2 The House directed the University that said recommendation of the House be placed before Executive Council for approval.

ollege – Status Report	Inspection of Namchi Government College
------------------------	---

<u>Minutes</u>

8.25.1 The House recommended that the Vice-Chancellor may look into the status report and accord necessary approval /conditional affiliation for the course(s), subject to fulfillment of conditions/rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the status report by the college.

8.25.2 The House directed the University that said recommendation of the House be placed before Executive Council for approval.

AC:08:26 Grant of Provisional affiliation for the year 2011-12.

Minutes

8.26.1 The House considered the agenda item and authorised the Vice-Chancellor to grant provisional affiliation to the colleges for the year 2011-12 subject to fulfillment of all the set conditions by the colleges and others as he may deem fit.

8.26.2 The House directed the University that the above recommendation of the House be placed before the Executive Council for approval.

AC:08:27 Increase in the intake capacity of Harkamaya College – M.Ed Course

Minutes

8.27.1 The House considered the agenda item in detail and also discussed the same at length. It was observed by Prof Atul Sarma that so long as the Regulatory Body viz. NCTE has accorded approval for additional intake capacity, the University has only a limited role to play for withholding it. At the same time, it was suggested that the University may make a detailed reference to NCTE mentioning the deficiencies it has come across with the college and as to how the additional intake capacity granted by NCTE would further increase the deficiency on the part of the college. This would keep the onus on NCTE and also guide it while granting such additional intake in future.

8.27.2 The Chairperson assured the House that the suggestion of the House would be kept in mind and necessary reference would be made to NCTE.

8.27.3 With the above recommendation, the House directed the University to submit the same to Executive Council for approval.

AC:08:28 Increase in intake ca	acity of M.Pharm Course – Himalayan Pharmacy Institute
--------------------------------	--

<u>Minutes</u>

8.28.1 This item was explained to the House in detail. It was explained that the college is already suffering with many deficiencies and allowing it to increase its intake capacity would further affect the quality of education provided by it and also the student community in particular. Prof VS Prasad observed that Council has taken note of the concerns of the University. It was observed that the University has also equal responsibility to the students who study in the college concerned. Prof Tista Bagchi observed in every case the due procedure should be followed by the University while allowing any increase in intake capacity applied for.

8.28.2 The Executive Council was of the opinion that allowing the additional intake capacity without adequate infrastructure on the part of the college should not be considered by the University.

8.28.3 It was stated that the said stand of the Academic Council may be placed by the University before the Executive Council for approval.

of University Grants Commission Act

Minutes

AC:08:29

8.29.1 The item was further explained to the House orally. The House also considered the agenda item in detail. Prof M.K.Das observed that the University may prepare detailed guidelines on the subject and place the same before the Academic Council for recommendation. The said guidelines may be framed in such a way duly considering the instructions of UGC on the subject and the local issues obtained.

AC:08:30 Grant to meritorious award to teachers of University/affiliated colleges

<u>Minutes</u>

8.30.1 The House considered the agenda item placed before it. Dr.Yonzone enquired about the nature of award to be given to which the Chairperson replied this is being decided. Prof Atul Sarma suggested that for the grant of meritorious award the University can consider utilising the feedback system of students. Prof YD Prasad suggested that the University may also consider using the Performance appraisal criteria of UGC.

8.30.2 With the above observations, the House recommended the agenda item for approval of the Executive Council.

AC:08:31	Review of qualification for selection of faculty members in the subjects of Mass
	Communication

<u>Minutes</u>

8.31.1 The House considered in detail the agenda item contributed by the Prof Iftekhar Ahmed. After detailed discussions the House adopted the same and resolved that the University may take up the suggestion contained in the Agenda item with the University Grants Commission for effecting necessary changes in the prescribed qualifications in respect of faculty members in the subject of Mass Communication.

8.31.2 The House directed the University that the above recommendation of the House may be placed before the Executive Council for approval.

AC:08:32 Diploma Course in Tea Management

Minutes

8.32.1 The House considered the agenda item and recommended the same for approval of the Executive Council. While doing so, Prof Atul Sarma enquired as to whether the said course can be started as an evening course by the University. To this the Chairperson replied that for the present there is no such idea.

8.32.2 With the above observation, the recommended the agenda item to the Executive Council for approval.

GROUP II – ITEMS WHICH NEEDS RATIFICATION

AC:08:33	Approval of Zero Semester Cases
----------	---------------------------------

Minutes

8.33.1 The House considered the agenda item of Zero semester cases and accorded *post-facto* approval for the same.

AC:08:34 Approval of Semester Break Cases

Minutes

8.34.1 The House considered the agenda item of semester break cases and accorded *post-facto* approval for the same.

AC:08:35

<u>Minutes</u>

8.35.1 The House considered the agenda item of improvement paper cases and accorded *post- facto* approval for the action taken by the University thereon.

AC:08:36	Amendment to Ordinance on Examination relating to Evaluation pattern
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

<u>Minutes</u>

8.36.1 The House considered the agenda item and accorded its recommendation *post-facto* for continuation of the provisions relating evaluation pattern in the future examinations also.

8.36.2 The House accordingly directed the University to place its recommendations to the Executive Council for approval.

AC:08:37 University Nominees to the Advisory Committees of Government Colleges

<u>Minutes</u>

8.37.1 The House considered the agenda item and accorded its recommendation *post-facto* as to University nominees to the advisory committees of Government Colleges

AC:08:38	University Nominees to the Governing Bodies of the Private Colleges
----------	---

<u>Minutes</u>

8.38.1 The House considered the agenda item and accorded its recommendation *post-facto* as to University nominees to the Governing Bodies of Private Colleges.

GROUP III – ITEMS WHICH ARE REPORTED FOR GUIDNACE & INFORMATION

AC:08:39	Vinayaka Mission Sikkim University	
AC.00.33		

Minutes

8.39.1 The House considered the agenda item in detail and discussed it at length. The House discussed various options available before the University on the issue. Prof VS Prasad suggested that rather than litigating, the University may place the issue in the Public Domain for discussion which some time may fetch a resolution/solution. Another option discussed was that the University may consider changing its name from "Sikkim University" to "Sikkim Central University" following the names used in the Central Universities Act. It was also opined that the University may take it up again with University Grants Commission as to how the State Act [Vinayaka Mission Sikkim University Act, 2008] infringed the Central Act [Sikkim University Act, 2006] especially in name of the University and also on affiliation of the colleges by the private university which is not allowed by UGC.

8.39.2 The House recommended that the above views of the Academic Council may be placed before the Executive Council for a decision.

AC:08:40	First Lustrum of Sikkim University
----------	------------------------------------

Minutes

8.40.1 The House noted the agenda item placed before it.

AC:08:41	Appointment of and invitation for various Visiting Positions
----------	--

Minutes

8.41.1 The House noted the agenda item placed before it. The Chairperson requested the distinguished Members to recommend the suitable names to the University for Various Visiting Positions for consideration, to which the House agreed to.

|--|

Minutes

8.42.1 The House noted the agenda item placed before it.

AC:08:43 Analysis of Monsoon 2010 Results

Minutes

8.43.1 The House noted the analysis of Monsoon 2010 Results placed before it.

AC:08:44	Annual Report for the year 2009-10
----------	------------------------------------

Minutes

8.44.1 The House considered the Annual Report for the Year 2009-10 placed before it and noted the contents. The House placed on record its appreciation for the rich content and design of the Report. The Chairperson requested the distinguished Members to provide to the University their valuable suggestions for improving the quality of the Report in future.

AC:08:45	University Prospectus for the year 2011-12	
----------	--	--

<u>Minutes</u>

8.45.1 The House considered the University Prospectus for the Year 2011-12 placed before it and placed its appreciation on record for its comprehensive content and rich design.

AC:08:46	Progress Report on setting up of Scientific Laboratories	
----------	--	--

<u>Minutes</u>

8.46.1 The House considered the Progress Report on setting up of Scientific Laboratories placed as Annexure AC VIII- 25 to the Agenda and noted its contents.

AC:08:47

Progress Report on establishment of connectivity

<u>Minutes</u>

8.47.1 The House noted the Progress Report on establishment of connectivity among the university departments and also through the BSNL to the various departments. It was informed to the House that Wi-fi facilities have been established in all the academic departments and the library. The Chairperson explained to the House the constraints the University is facing in getting the internet facilities through BSNL and various measures taken by him, including reporting the position to the Hon'ble Minister for Telecommunications, to the House for its kind information and noting.

AC:08:48	Recruitment of teaching positions on regular basis
----------	--

<u>Minutes</u>

8.48.1 The House noted the agenda item placed before it. The Chairperson explained to the House the action taken by the University in filling up of the posts on regular basis. He has requested the distinguished Members to make themselves available to the selection committee for recruitment of the teaching positions.

|--|

<u>Minutes</u>

8.49.1 The House noted the agenda item placed before it and the action taken by the University thereon.

AC:08:50	Demitting of Office of the Controller of Examinations – Dr. Srikant Mohapatra
----------	---

Minutes

8.50.1 The House noted the contents of the agenda item placed before it.

AC:08:51 Appointme

Appointment of Principal in private colleges

<u>Minutes</u>

8.51.1 The agenda item was explained to the House in detail by the Secretary. It was also explained as to how the private colleges are manipulating the codal provisions in appointment to the senior position like Principal. To this Prof VS Prasad observed that the University should develop norms for the appointment of Principal and intimate the same to the colleges concerned. At the same time the University also takes into consideration the concerns of the Private Colleges in such cases. As the University is in its earlier years, it should go slow in reforms and should not lose its focus on overall educational development.

8.51.2 The Chairperson assured the House that the valuable suggestions of the Hon'ble would be duly followed by the University.

AC:08:52	Admission of students in Pakim Palatine colleges
----------	--

Minutes

8.52.1 The House noted the contents of the agenda item and the action taken by the University on record.

	AC:08:53	Organizing of Sikkim Half Marathon
--	----------	------------------------------------

Minutes

8.53.1 The House noted the agenda item.

AC:08:54	Sponsoring of Sports Events
----------	-----------------------------

<u>Minutes</u>

8.54.1 The House noted the agenda item for its information.

AC:08:55 Holding of UGC Net Examination by Sikkim University

<u>Minutes</u>

8.55.1 The House noted the agenda item and also appreciated the University for holding the UGC NET examination for the first time.

AC:08:56	3 rd Interactive session with affiliated colleges
----------	--

Minutes

8.56.1 The House noted the agenda item and placed on record its appreciation for the continuous effort of the University in this direction.

AC:08:57 Constitution of Board for Campus Development at Yangang [BCDY]

<u>Minutes</u>

8.57.1 The House noted the contents of the agenda. The Chairperson requested the distinguished Members to provide their valuable time and suggestion on various issues in development of the campus including architecture for which the House agreed to.

AC:08:58	Journal of Sikkim University
----------	------------------------------

<u>Minutes</u>

8.58.1 The House noted the agenda item for its kind information.

AC:08:59

<u>Minutes</u>

8.59.1 The House noted the agenda item placed before it and noted its contents. It had also placed on record its high appreciation for the innovative approach followed by the University.

AC:08:60	D Fortnightly Meetings with staff members	
----------	---	--

Minutes

8.60.1 The House noted the contents of the agenda item.

AC: 08:61	Appointment of Librarian
-----------	--------------------------

Minutes

8.61.1 The House noted the agenda item placed for its kind information.

AC:08:62	Holding of the meeting of the next Academic Council
----------	---

Minutes

8.62.1 It was decided to hold the next meeting of the Academic Council by end of May 2011. The exact date and venue would be communicated separately to the Honorable Members separately.

AC:08:63	Any other items with the permission of the Chair

Minutes

8.63.1 The Chairperson requested the House to constitute a Committee on Internal Quality Management for the University. It was accordingly suggested that the committee would consist of

S.No	Name	Position in the Committee
1.	Prof VS Prasad	Chairperson
2.	Prof JP Sharma	Member
3.	Prof M.K.Das	Member
4.	Prof Neelima Deshmukh	Member
5.	Dr. Yonzone	Member

The terms of reference etc of the Committee would be finalised and intimated separately.

There being no other item, the meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.

-/Sd (PV Ravi) Finance Officer, Secretary-in-charge, Academic Council